## 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Northern District of California 7 8 Let 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COPPLE ET AL,

Plaintiff,

No. C 05-03961 JSW

v.

ASTRELLA & RICE, P.C. ET AL,

Defendant.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Plaintiffs filed the complaint in the instant case on September 29, 2005. In general, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) requires service of the summons and complaint on defendants within 120 days, unless that time is extended on a showing of good cause. Pursuant to Rule 4(m), "the court, upon motion or on its own initiative after notice to the plaintiff, *shall* dismiss the action without prejudice" as to any defendant not served within the 120 day period "or direct that service be effected within a specified time."

On January 27, 2006, the day Rule 4(m)'s 120 day period expired, Plaintiffs sought an additional 45 days in which to serve the complaint. That request was granted on January 30, 2006. The additional 45 day period expired as of March 16, 2006, and there is no indication that Defendants have been served, nor have there been any further requests for extensions of time.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to serve the Defendants within the time period provided by Rule 4(m) and the additional time granted by the Court. Plaintiff shall file a written response

	1	I
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
rnıa	13	
Calitor	14	
trict of	15	
ern Dis	16	
_	17	
For the	18	
	19	
4	20	
2	21	
4	22	
2	23	
	24	
	25	
2	26	
2	27	I

28

to the Court by no later than April 14, 2006.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 28, 2006

JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE